

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>

Padeswood

Minutes

Subject: Liaison Committee

Date: 05 - March 2018

Location: Board Room: Padeswood Works

Minutes taken by: Robert Keough

PARTICIPANTS	Joseph Caruana (JC)	Chairman – Leeswood CCLR		
	Gary Nancarrow (GN)	Flintshire CC		
	Jeff Priddin (JP)	Penyffordd Cllr	j.priddin@btinternet.com	
	Murat Burakcin (MB)	Plant Manager	Hanson Cement	
	Robert Keough (RK)	Environmental Manager	Hanson Cement	

Apologies	<i>Sarah Kenworthy, Margaret Jones, Les Hewitt, Cindy Hinds , Stuart Ross</i>
------------------	---

DISTRIBUTION THOSE PRESENT/ABSENT	Dave L Jones	FCC Environmental Health
	Andrew Jones	PHW
	Mr H White	Ramblers Association
	Martin Wright	Clerk to Buckley Town Council
	Clerk to Penyffordd Community Council	
	Clerk to Leeswood Community Council	
	Clerk to Hope Community Council	
	Dr Graham Brown	PHW

1	APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS JC asked to be invited to all future meetings of the Penyffordd council. He also requested that further representation is made at the next liaison committee from Penyffordd locals.
2	MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING Issued by RK from last meeting
3	MATTERS ARISING from the minutes GN – Mentioned that during mill 5 applications the council could not take into account the path which

	<p>was of concern by Howard White and discussed at the last meeting, as it was outside of the planning application boundary, and was not currently a path.</p> <p>General - The ramblers claim on the said path is currently with sat with Flintshire County Council for consideration. However, a decision is not likely to happen quickly as some path claims have been undetermined for over 20 years.</p> <p>MB –Hanson will honour the final decision made by FCC, however no work will take place before this.</p> <p>GN – To make some enquiries about whether the path application documents can be made available.</p>
4	<p>PLANNING UPDATE (Gary Nancarrow)</p> <p>GN – Recently received the required schemes to be permitted for the new planning application in relation to the new mill.</p> <p>These planning schemes will be put in place to ensure there is a suitable and sufficient construction management plan, contaminated land management plan, tree safeguarding plan & biosecurity plan during the new mill project.</p> <p>There will be an internal consultation and maybe some consultation with NRW with regard to approval of the schemes</p> <p>GN – Explained that the biosecurity plan will document the steps required to ensure that no invasive species find their way into the development area.</p>
5	<p>NRW UPDATE (No representative of NRW present)</p> <p>SR – The variation for the new cement mill was granted on 8th January. This permit variation allows Hanson to operate the mill once the construction has been completed.</p> <p>As part of this variation there will be a new emission point on the new cement mill, which will be subject to specified monitoring requirements, as per the current emission points.</p> <p>SR – A new improvement condition has been added to the permit, which requests Hanson to validate the predictions of impact on the local environment.</p> <p>SR - The new mill will use a boiler to generate hot air to remove moisture from the raw materials. As this is oil fired, the permit will also include a condition to prove the emissions from the boiler. This condition will have to be completed within 12 months of operation of the mill.</p> <p>SR – NRW have received a new permit variation application from Hanson. This variation seeks to apply the MPA code of practice variation. The code of practice when applied enables the plant to use a lower rate of fossil fuels in the process by enabling a greater use of waste streams. This code of practice is utilised at all other cement works in the UK. The code of practice was previously discussed at the liaison meeting on 14th March 2016.</p> <p>SR - There is also another application, to enable the site to use a coal with higher sulphur content. This will allow the kiln to balance the chemistry and reduce the chances of blockages which will in turn make the kiln process more efficient. As the site has used less coal in recent times, there has been less total sulphur into the process.</p>

	<p>RK – There is a need to balance the alkalis & sulphur contents in the kiln system as it prevents the occurrence of blockages and kiln stops. As the plant now utilises less fossil fuels the kiln system is now richer in alkalis which sometimes causes issues.</p> <p>SR – The site had a breach of permit as one of the monitoring devices on the main stack was out of service for a period of 7 hours, the maximum allowable time in the permit for breakdown is 4 hours otherwise the site must stop the use of alternative fuels. In this instance the site did not notice the monitoring device was unavailable and continued to use alternative fuels for a further 3 hours. The site did not notice that the equipment was not functioning due to the application of a calibration function to the device output.</p> <p>JC – Asked to explain how the calibration on the plant equipment relates to an instrument not being available.</p> <p>RK – The non-functioning was not noticed, as the application of a calibration function changed the signal from the equipment. This meant that a zero signal from the device actually displayed as a 0.1 on the control system, indicating the instrument was still operational.</p> <p>RK – The issues with the device have now been rectified and a new alarm signal has been implemented to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>SR – A spill of cemfuel occurred on 21st December at Padeswood where some of the fuel leaked from the pipe transport system and into the kiln cooler building in which it was used and also to a stoned area outside the building. The site handled this by using the spill emergency response to prevent this material entering the local water courses.</p> <p>JC – How did the pipes fail and what is the inspection regime on the pipes.</p> <p>RK – One of the pipes failed at an elbow joint. The pipes are inspected every two years for wear, and have been done for the last six years. No deterioration in the pipework was observed in this time. The inspection regime has now been reduced to 1 year Hanson has spent £30k in Jan & Feb replacing similar aged pipework.</p> <p>SR – On 21st February a discharge of water containing coal fines was made into the brook which runs around the site. This occurred for approximately one hour.</p> <p>RK – This action was taken by a site contractor to resolve an area of localised flooding. They believed they were acting in the best interests and had not thought about the potential environmental impact. The pumping of this material into the brook was not authorised by Hanson staff and is currently being investigated.</p> <p>SR – One noise complaint was received in January during the annual shutdown. This occurred whilst the site was removing refractory bricks from the tower. When the complaint was received then the noise was stopped, and working times were adjusted.</p> <p>SR – NRW have observed a significant reduction in noise complaints over the past year.</p>
6	<p>PADESWOOD UPDATE</p> <p>RK – The complaints received at site have been at the lowest level in recent memory.</p> <p>RK – Due to recent issues discussed above the site is rolling out enhanced environmental training for site staff and contractors.</p>

	<p>RK – The site are implementing a new phase of a dust improvement plan. This plan is to carry out replacement of areas where fugitive dust can occur. This plan is a systematic replacement of doors, cladding, sheeting and other areas where fugitive dust can occur, and aims to minimize dust releases from the plant.</p> <p>RK – The site are planning on removing the air monitoring station from the Penyfford bowling club. The equipment is now obsolete and would cost circa £250k to replace. The equipment was originally placed at the bowling club to validate the air quality predictions made in the new kiln permit application prior to 2006. The models were proven correct and the requirement of the station was removed from the permit in 2013. The site wishes further investment in preventative measures at the site itself to reduce environmental impact on the neighbours.</p> <p>RK – All of the major emission points on the site have continuous monitoring installed. The results from these emission points are assessed and audited by Hanson and NRW.</p> <p>JP – Was concerned that Penyfford residents would be worried about removing the monitoring station. He requested minutes from the meeting be made available before his next council meeting so he could discuss.</p> <p>JC – Worried that local residents do not understand the level of emissions monitoring which take place at the plant. Requested that it needs to be communicated to local residents that emissions from all the stacks at the site are monitored continually.</p> <p>SR – NRW no longer require the data from the monitoring station, and it is no longer part of the Padeswood permit. The monitoring station has already shown that the results from the main stack are predicted by the initial model. There was previously a second monitoring station owned by the environment agency but this was removed in 2013.</p>
8	<p>Council Response</p> <p>JP/JC – No communication from the Council.</p>
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>JC – asked all others to remind local councillors and members of the public that they are free to attend all future meetings.</p> <p>JP – The minutes are to be made available before the 13th of March</p> <p>Next meeting date Monday 4th June</p>